	CIV-130
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Jimmie Davis Parker, Esq. (SBN 252023)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Law Office of Jimmie Davis Parker, APC	EL ECTRONICAL I V EIL ER
4241 Arden Way	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California,
San Diego, California 92103	County of San Diego
TELEPHONE NO.: 619,887,3300 FAX NO. (Optional):	07/05/2018 at 12:24:00 PM
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): JDParker(a)gmail.com	Clerk of the Superior Court
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): 3 Brothers Taco Shop, Inc; Paris Kargar; Zhala Tawfiq	By E. Filing Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego	
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego 92101	
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice - Central	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Zhala Tawfiq, et al.	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Miss Middle East Beauty Pageant USA, Inc., et al.	
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	CASE NUMBER:
OR ORDER	37-2017-00019692
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeded \$25,000) LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded was \$25,000 or less)	
TO ALL PARTIES :	
1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): June 29, 2018	
2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.	
Date: July 5, 2018	17,
Jimmie Davis Parker	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)	(SIGNATURE)
	(

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Zhala Tawfiq, et al.	CASE NUMBER: 37-2017-00019692
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	

PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAILAND ELECTRONIC MAIL NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER				
(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgr the notice must complete this proof of service.)	ment or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who ser			
	on. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took ify): 4241 Arden Way San Diego, California 92103 (JDParker@gmail.com)			
 b. placed the sealed envelope for collection ar 	ion ted States Postal Service And/OR Electronic Mail and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, he day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is			
3. The Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order was Emailed	d:			
a. on (date): July. 5, 2018				
b. from (city and state): San Diego, California (JD	Parker@gmail.com)			
The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:				
 a. Name of person served: Steve Liosi, Esq. Counsel for Defendants Street address: attroneyliosi@gmail.com City: State and zip code: 	 c. Name of person served: Jennifer Hasso, Esq. (Counsel for Ms. Charry) Street address: JHasso@gmail.com City: State and zip code: 			
b. Name of person served:Street address:City:State and zip code:	d. Name of person served: Steven Cooper, Esq. (Counsel for Maloufs) Street address:sco2059339@aol.com City: State and zip code:			
Names and addresses of additional persons ser	rved are attached. (You may use form POS-030(P).)			
5. Number of pages attached5				
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the St	tate of California that the foregoing is true and			
correct. Date: July 5, 2018				
	17.			
Jimmie Davis Parker, Esq.				
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)			

FILED

Clark of the Superiliar Court D

JUN 29 2018

By: K. BRECKENRIDGE

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
11	ZHALA TAWFIQ, et al.) Case No.: 37-2017-00019692-CU-FR-CTL
12	Plaintiffs,	[IMAGED FILE]
13	v.	[Assigned to Hon. Timothy Taylor for all purposes]
14	MISS MIDDLE EAST BEAUTY PAGEANT USA, INC., et al.,) [RROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING) PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DEEM FACTS
15	Defendants.) ADMITTED, GENUINENESS OF) DOCUMENTS AND AWARD OF
16		SANCTIONS
17	ELIE MALOUF, et al.	Complaint Filed: May 31, 2017
18	Cross-complainants,	Trial Date: August 10, 2018
19	v.	Date: June 29, 2018 Time: 1:30 PM
20	DANG ATTALLAL	Location: C-72
21	RAMI ATTALLAH	{
22	Defendant.	{
23		{
24		
25		
26		
27		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DEEM FACTS ADMITTED, GENUINENESS OF DOCUMENTS AND AWARD OF SANCTIONS

1	On June 29, 2018 at 1:30 PM, Plaintiffs ZHALA TAWFIQ, PARIS KARGAR and THREE			
2	BROTHERS TACO SHOP, LLC's Motion to Deem Facts Admitted and Genuineness of			
3	Documents came before the Court.			
4	After considering the papers filed and argument presented, the Court rules as follows:			
5	Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED in its entirety.			
6	The Facts and Genuineness of Documents Encompassed by Plaintiffs' Requests for			
7	Admission Set Two to the Kalasho Defendants are hereby deemed Admitted as True and Genuine.			
8	Plaintiffs' are entitled to recover reasonable fees and costs pursuant to Section 2033.280 of			
9	the Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs' reasonable fees and costs are awarded in the amount of			
10	\$2,035			
11				
12				
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
14 15	Date6/25/18			
16				
17	1851			
18	1000 /3h			
19	Hon. Timothy Taylor Judge of the Superior Court			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
20				

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
HALL OF JUSTICE
TENTATIVE RULINGS - June 26, 2018

JUDICIAL OFFICER: Timothy Taylor

CASE NO.: 37-2017-00019692-CU-FR-CTL

CASE TITLE: ZHALA TAWFIQ VS MISS MIDDLE EAST BEAUTY PAGEANT USA INC [IMAGED]

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Fraud

EVENT TYPE: Discovery Hearing

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Motion - Other, 05/22/2018

Tentative Ruling on Motion for Deemed Admissions

Tawfiq v. Miss Middle East Beauty Pageant, Case No. 2017-19692

June 29, 2018, 1:30 p.m., Dept. 72

1. Overview and Procedural Posture.

This is a dispute over what happened (and didn't happen) after plaintiff Zhala Tawfiq was "crowned ... 'Miss Middle East USA 2016,' [and] provided ... with a crown, sash, trophy and oversized check for \$2,000." ROA 1, paragraph 13. Plaintiff alleges the proprietor of the pageant, Mr. Kalasho, essentially pulled a "bait and switch" as to the promised prize money, and then, when she complained, posted nude pictures purporting to be her and awarded the crown to someone else. *Id.*, paragraphs 14-17. The complaint for fraud, IIED and defamation was filed May 31, 2017. The case was assigned to Judge Trapp, but plaintiff challenged her whereupon the case was reassigned to Dept. 72. ROA 9, 11.

The first amended complaint (FAC) (which added new plaintiffs Three Brothers Taco Shop, Paris Kargar, and Lina Charry, several counts, and several new defendants) was filed July 24, 2017. ROA 16. Defendants answered and cross-complained on August 14, 2017. ROA 20-22. The cross-complaint was later amended (ROA 31). The first amended cross-complaint (FACC) alleges claims for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant, IIED, "cyber-harassment," defamation, and two species of the interference tort.

At a CMC in late September, 2017, the court set the case for trial in August of 2018. ROA 44-48.

The parties appeared on the *ex parte* calendar in early October, 2017 regarding a third party subpoena. ROA 33, 38, 49.

In November of 2017, the original plaintiff and the new plaintiffs filed the second amended complaint (SAC) by stipulation. ROA 56-58. The SAC advances claims for unfair competition, fraud, NIED, IIED, and defamation.

The following motions were set for December 22, 2017:

Event ID: 1968347 TENTATIVE RULINGS Calendar No.:
Page: 1

CASE TITLE: ZHALA TAWFIQ VS MISS MIDDLE CASE NUMBER: 37-2017-00019692-CU-FR-CTL EAST BEAUTY PAGEANT USA INC

- A. Plaintiffs/cross-defendants' special motion to strike the FACC (ROA 60-61);
- B. Plaintiffs/cross-defendants' demurrer to the FACC (ROA 62-63);
- C. Plaintiffs/cross-defendants' motion to compel responses to form interrogatories and production demands (ROA 68, 70);
- D. Plaintiffs/cross-defendants' motion to compel third party Matrix Video to produce videos (ROA 69, 70).

All four motions were unopposed. Plaintiffs/cross-defendants filed a notice of non-opposition. ROA 77-78. The court published a detailed tentative ruling (ROA 82-86); despite filing no opposition, counsel for defendants/cross-complainants appeared at the hearing and offered argument. The court confirmed the tentative ruling which, among other things, granted the special motion to strike the cross-complaint. ROA 94. A judgment of dismissal was thereafter entered on the cross-complaint with respect to the moving cross-defendants. ROA 88.

On February 2, 2018, the court denied the pro forma motion under Code of Civil Procedure section 473(b) by the cross-complainant. ROA 110.

Subsequently before the court was another special motion to strike the FACC and demurrer to the FACC, this time by plaintiff Lina Charry. ROA 105-106. Defendants again filed no opposition. ROA 122. Also calendared for the same day was a motion by the original plaintiffs for attorneys' fees under Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16 for having prevailed on the SLAPP motion last December 22, 2017. ROA 96-98. Again, no opposition was filed. ROA 123.

The court, on February 16, 2018, granted the second special motion to strike, found the demurrer moot, and granted the motion for attorneys' fees. ROA 119-121, 128, 130. A judgment of dismissal was thereafter entered on the cross-complaint with respect to the second set of moving cross-defendants. ROA 137.

Kalasho thereafter filed an untimely 170.6 challenge. ROA 129.

Cross-defendant Charry, who prevailed on the second special motion to strike, sought an award of attorneys' fees. ROA 151. There was no opposition. ROA 158. The court published a tentative ruling on April 19, 2018, heard argument from the moving party on April 20, 2018, and took the motion under submission. The court thereafter decided the motion. ROA 180.

Another discovery motion was decided by the court on May 4, 2018. ROA 184. Soon thereafter, plaintiffs sought a trial continuance (ROA 187), and the court granted very reluctantly vacated the trial and set a CMC for July 6. ROA 197-98.

Presently before the court is plaintiffs' motion for deemed admissions. ROA 202-205. They also seek the imposition of monetary sanctions in the amount of \$2,035.00. The motion provides May 22, 2018 service by US Mail and Electronic Mail on counsel for defendants in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005(b). ROA 205. No opposition was filed.

2. Applicable Standards.

The court incorporates parts 2B, 2D, 2E and 2F of the minutes for May 4, 2018 (ROA 184).

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2033 *et seq.*, and in particular section 2033.280, a party upon whom requests for admissions have been served has 30 days in which to respond to same. Failure to timely do so may result in a motion to deem such admissions admitted as well as a mandatory monetary sanction pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2033.280.

Event ID: 1968347 TENTATIVE RULINGS Calendar No.:

Page: 2

CASE TITLE: ZHALA TAWFIQ VS MISS MIDDLE CASE NUMBER: 37-2017-00019692-CU-FR-CTL EAST BEAUTY PAGEANT USA INC

3. Discussion and Ruling.

The motion is granted. The requests for admissions served on defendants, i.e., Bessmon Kalasho, Jessica Kalasho, Miss Middle East Beauty Pageant, and Middle Eastern Chamber of Commerce, on April 4, 2018 are deemed admitted. Defendants failed to respond to the requests for admissions, and the time to respond has expired. See ROA 203, Parker declaration, paragraph 3. Sanctions are granted against defendants in the requested sum of \$2035.00, payable within 30 days of this ruling. The court will sign plaintiffs' proposed order (ROA 204).

Because defendants failed to file any opposition to the motion, the court is entitled to consider that lack of opposition to be an admission the motion is meritorious. See Sexton v. Superior Court (1997) 58 Cal.App.4th 1403, 1410. Further, by failing to oppose the motion, defendants have failed to preserve for appeal a challenge to the granting of the motion. In re Carrie W. (2003) 110 Cal.App.4th 746, 755; Broden v. Marin Humane Society (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 1212, 1226-1227, fn. 13; see also Duarte v. Chino Comm. Hospital (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 849, 856; Badie v. Bank of America (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 779, 784-85.

Event ID: 1968347 TENTATIVE RULINGS Calendar No.:

Page: 3